arXiv:1411.7574v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 27 Nov 2014

A. C. Lausmann[1] · **E. J. Calegari**[1] · **S. G. Magalhaes** [2] · **C. M. Chaves**[3] · **A. Troper**[3]

Interplay between condensation energy, pseudogap and the specific heat of a Hubbard model in a n-pole approximation

XX.XX.2014

Keywords superconductivity, pseudogap, specific heat, condensation energy, Hubbard model

Abstract The condensation energy and the specific heat jump of a two-dimensional Hubbard model, suitable to discuss high-T_c superconductors, is studied. In this work, the Hubbard model is investigated by the Green's function method within a *n*-pole approximation, which allows to consider superconductivity with $d_{x^2-y^2}$-wave pairing. In the present scenario, the pseudogap regime emerges when the antiferromagnetic (AF) correlations become sufficiently strong to move to lower energies the region around of the nodal point (π,π) on the renormalized bands. It is observed that above a given total occupation n_T, the specific heat jump ΔC and also the condensation energy $U(0)$ decrease signaling the presence of the pseudogap.

1 Introduction

It is believed that the two-dimensional Hubbard model[1] is able to capture the essential physics of the high temperature superconductivity (HTSC) in copper-oxides[2,3]. In such systems, understanding the interplay between the superconductivity and the pseudogap regime could be the key to clarify the mechanisms behind the unconventional superconductivity. Experimental results for some cuprates indicate a close relation among specific heat, condensation energy and the pseudogap[4,5,6,7]. More precisely, due to the presence of a pseudogap on the normal state density of states, the jump in the specific heat and the superconducting condensation energy decrease below a given doping. Besides, according to references[3,8],

1: Laboratório de Teoria da Matéria Condensada, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, 97105-900, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil
E-mail: hanakadia@gmail.com
2: Universidade Federal Fluminense, Av. Litorânea s/n, 24210-346 Niterói, RJ, Brazil
3: Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

the HTSC phase diagram can be separated in two regimes: a weak coupling and a strong coupling regime[3,8]. The weak coupling regime could be described, approximately, in terms of the conventional BCS superconductivity while the strong coupling regime would be governed by unconventional superconductivity. In this scenario, the pseudogap is a property of the strong coupling regime[3]. In this context, the investigation of the specific heat and the condensation energy of two-dimensional Hubbard model may give us important insights about the physics of the HTSC.

In the present work, the normal-state pseudogap and the superconducting regime of a two-dimensional Hubbard model is investigated within the Green's functions technique[9,10]. The pseudogap emerges on the strongly correlated regime in which the antiferromagnetic correlations associated with the spin-spin correlation function $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$ becomes sufficiently strong to open a pseudogap in the region $(\pi, 0)$ on the Fermi surface. Such normal-state pseudogap is also observed in the $(\pi, 0)$ point of the renormalized band.

2 Model and method

The repulsive ($U > 0$) one band two-dimensional Hubbard model[1] studied here is

$$H = \sum_{\langle\langle ij \rangle\rangle \sigma} t_{ij} c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i\sigma} n_{i,\sigma} n_{i,-\sigma} - \mu \sum_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma} \tag{1}$$

which takes into account hopping to first and second nearest neighbors. The quantity μ represents the chemical potential, $n_{i,\sigma} = c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{i\sigma}$ is the number operator and $c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma}(c_{i\sigma})$ is the fermionic creation (annihilation) operator at site i with spin $\sigma = \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$. We use the Green's function technique in the Zubarev's formalism[11]. The equation of motion of the Green's functions are treated within the n-pole approximation introduced by L. Roth[9,10]. In this procedure, a set of operators $\{\hat{A}_n\}$ is introduced in order to describe the most important excitations of the system. The n-pole approximation assumes that the commutator $[\hat{A}_n, \hat{H}]$, which appears in the equation of motion of the Green's functions, can be written as $[\hat{A}_n, \hat{H}] = \sum_m K_{nm} \hat{A}_m$ where the elements K_{nm} are determined by anti-commuting both sides of this relation with the operator set $\{\hat{A}_n\}$ and taking the thermal average. We get $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{N}^{-\mathbf{1}}$ with

$$E_{nm} = \langle [[\hat{A}_n, \hat{H}], \hat{A}^{\dagger}_m]_+ \rangle \qquad \text{and} \qquad N_{nm} = \langle [\hat{A}_n, \hat{A}^{\dagger}_m]_+ \rangle. \tag{2}$$

In terms of $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{N}$, the Green's function matrix is $\mathbf{G}(\omega) = \mathbf{N}(\omega\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{E})^{-\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{N}$. Both $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ can be determined through equations (2) if the set of operators $\{\hat{A}_n\}$ is known. As we are interested in investigating both the normal and the superconducting regimes, we use the operator set[10] $\{\hat{A}_n\} = \{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\hat{n}_{i-\sigma}, \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i-\sigma}, \hat{n}_{i\sigma}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i-\sigma}\}$.

The energy per particle can be obtained from the Green's function following the procedure described by Kishore and Joshi[12]. For the Hubbard model introduced in equation (1), the internal energy per particle in the superconducting state is:

$$E = \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^{4} Z_{i,\mathbf{k}\sigma} (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu + E_{i,\mathbf{k}\sigma}) f(E_{i,\mathbf{k}\sigma}) - \mu n_T \tag{3}$$

where $n_T = n_{-\sigma} + n_\sigma$ is the total occupation, $Z_{i,\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ are the spectral weights[10] of the Green's function $G^{(11)}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} = \langle\langle c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}; c^\dagger_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}\rangle\rangle$ and $f(\omega)$ is the Fermi function. In the superconducting state, the renormalized bands are:

$$E_{i,\mathbf{k}\sigma} = (-1)^{(i+1)}\sqrt{\omega^2_{j,\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \frac{(-1)^{(j+1)}|\gamma_\mathbf{k}|^2[(\varepsilon_\mathbf{k}+Un_{-\sigma}-\mu)^2 - \omega^2_{j,\mathbf{k}\sigma}]}{n^2_{-\sigma}(1-n_{-\sigma})^2(\omega^2_{2,\mathbf{k}\sigma} - \omega^2_{1,\mathbf{k}\sigma})}}, \quad (4)$$

with $j = 1$ if $i = 1$ or 2, and $j = 2$ if $i = 3$ or 4, $\gamma_\mathbf{k} = 2t\gamma(cos(k_x a) - cos(k_y a))$ is the gap function and γ is the superconducting order parameter with $d_{x^2-y^2}$-wave symmetry[10]. In the normal state, the renormalized bands are:

$$\omega_{j,\sigma\mathbf{k}} = \frac{U + \varepsilon_\mathbf{k} + W_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} - 2\mu}{2} - (-1)^{(j+1)}\frac{X_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}}{2} \quad (5)$$

where $X_\mathbf{k} = \sqrt{(U - \varepsilon_\mathbf{k} + W_{\mathbf{k}\sigma})^2 + 4\langle n_{-\sigma}\rangle U(\varepsilon_\mathbf{k} - W_{\mathbf{k}\sigma})}$ and $\varepsilon_\mathbf{k}$ is the unperturbed band energy $\varepsilon_\mathbf{k} = 2t[\cos(k_x a) + \cos(k_y a)] + 4t_2\cos(k_x a)\cos(k_y a)$ where t is the first-neighbor and t_2 is the second-neighbor hopping amplitudes. $W_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}$, is a band shift that depends on the correlation function[10,13] $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j\rangle$.

The specific heat jump is $\Delta C = [\frac{(C_S - C_N)}{C_N}]_{T=T_c}$ with $C_{S,N} = \frac{\partial E_{S,N}}{\partial T}$, E_S and E_N being the energy per particle in the superconducting and in the normal sate, respectively. E_N is obtained from equation (3) keeping the superconducting order parameter equal to zero ($\gamma = 0$). Now, let's define $U(T) = F_N - F_S$ as the difference between the normal (F_N) and the superconducting (F_S) states Helmholtz free energy. The superconducting condensation energy is defined as:

$$U(0) = E_N - E_S. \quad (6)$$

3 Results

The main focus of the present work is the strong coupling regime in which unconventional superconductivity may occurs. For this purpose, we analyzed the renormalized bands, the superconducting condensation energy and the specific heat jump as a function of the total occupation n_T and of the interaction U.

Figure 1 shows the renormalized band $\omega_{1,\sigma\mathbf{k}}$. In the left panel, $\omega_{1,\sigma\mathbf{k}}$ is shown for different values of total the occupation n_T. The inset displays the region near the point $(\pi, 0)$ in which a pseudogap develops when the occupation is increased. For instance, when $n_T = 0.81$, the band intersects the Fermi energy ε_F, but, for $n_T = 0.85$ the band does not reaches the Fermi energy giving rise to a pseudogap between the band and ε_F. The right panel shows $\omega_{1,\sigma\mathbf{k}}$ for $n_T = 0.85$ and different interactions U. The inset highlights a pseudogap on $(\pi, 0)$ for $U = 8.0|t|$ and $U = 10.0|t|$ and the absence of pseudogap for $U = 6.0|t|$. In the n-pole approximation used in this work[9,10] the Green's functions naturally present a pole structure which contains the spin-spin correlation function[10] $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j\rangle$. In the present scenario the pseudogap emerges when the correlation function $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j\rangle$ becomes sufficiently strong to move to lower energies the region of the nodal point (π, π) of the renormalized band $\omega_{1,\sigma\mathbf{k}}$. This occurs because the renormalized band $\omega_{1,\sigma\mathbf{k}}$



Fig. 1 (Color online) The left panel shows the renormalized band $\omega_{1,\sigma\mathbf{k}}$ for different occupations. In the inset, the region of the $(\pi,0)$ point shows the pseudogap for $n_T \gtrsim 0.83$. In the right panel, the bands for $n_T = 0.85$ and different interactions U. In the inset, the region of the $(\pi,0)$ point shows the pseudogap for $U \gtrsim 8.0|t|$.



Fig. 2 (Color online) The density of states (DOS) for different occupations n_T. The vertical line in $\omega = 0$ indicates the position of the Fermi energy ε_F. The inset shows the region near the Fermi energy ε_F. For $n_T \gtrsim 0.83$ the DOS at ε_F is decreased indicating the presence of a pseudogap.

is deeply influenced by the momentum structure of the spin-spin correlation function[14] $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$. Due to the antiferromagnetic character[10] of $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$, the region of the nodal point (π,π) of $\omega_{1,\sigma\mathbf{k}}$ is strongly affected (see figure 1). As a consequence a pseudogap arises at the anti-nodal point $(\pi,0)$. Moreover, the $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$ is very sensitive to n_T and U, indeed, $|\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle|$ increases[15] with n_T and U. Therefore, when $|\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle|$ reaches a critical value $|\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle|_c$ the pseudogap emerges.

The density of states (DOS) for the renormalized band $\omega_{1,\sigma\mathbf{k}}$ is shown in figure 2 for different occupations n_T. The vertical line in $\omega = 0$ indicates the position of the Fermi energy ε_F and the model parameters are shown in the figure. When n_T increases, the correlations become stronger, resulting in a narrowing of the density of states. However, the most important feature observed in the DOS is the reduction of the DOS on ε_F for $n_T \gtrsim 0.83$. Such reduction is an effect of the presence of a pseudogap in the strong correlated regime of the system.



Fig. 3 (Color online) In (a), the condensation energy as a function of the total occupation n_T. (b) The behavior of the spin-spin correlation function for the same model parameters considered in (a). In (c) the condensation energy as a function of the interaction U for different occupations. (d) The spin-spin correlation function as a function of U for the same model parameters considered in (c). Details about these results are given in the text.

The condensation energy $U(0)$ as a function of the total occupation n_T is shown in figure 3(a). Notice that $U(0)$ increases with n_T, reaches a maximum and then start to decrease. The depletion of $U(0)$ for a n_T greater than a given value is related to the development of a pseudogap near the anti-nodal points on the Fermi surface. This result is in qualitative agreement with experimental data obtained for some cuprate systems[5,6]. Figure 3(b) shows $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$ as a function of n_T. The horizontal dotted line indicates approximately the value of $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$, from which the system enters in the underdoped strong coupling regime. Figure 3(c) displays the behavior of the condensation energy $U(0)$ as a function of the interaction U for several occupations. It is interesting to note that there is an optimal value of U which produces a maximum $U(0)$. However, such optimum value changes with the occupation n_T. This feature is associated to the opening of the pseudogap which occurs in the strong coupling regime. Therefore, if n_T decreases, a higher value of U is necessary for the system to access the strong coupling regime. The correlation function $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$ shown in figure 3(d) may serve as a parameter to indicate that the system is reaching the strong coupling regime. As in figure 3(b), the horizontal dotted line indicates approximately the value of $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$, from which the system enters in the underdoped strong coupling regime. The results for condensation energy $U(0)$ versus n_T, figure 3(a), are in qualitatively agreement with a method bansed on the resonanting valence bond (RVB) spin liquid[16] and also with results from the fluctuation-exchange (FLEX) approximation[17]. In the FLEX approximation, $U(0)$ increases with U but does not present a maximum like the



Fig. 4 (Color online) The jump in the specific heat as a function of the total occupation (see the text).

one observed in figure 3(c). There are no available results for $U(0)$ versus U in the RVB method[16].

The figure 4 shows the specific heat jump ΔC as a function of n_T. Notice that initially the ΔC increases slightly with n_T but, above $n_T \approx 0.83$, ΔC starts to decrease. The decreasing in ΔC is an evidence of the presence of a pseudogap in the underdoped regime and is close related to the development of a pseudogap on the density of states (DOS) (see figure 2). The decreasing of the DOS on ε_F when n_T increases, is directly related to the pseudogap on ε_F and agrees with a high-resolution photoemission study[18] of $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ which suggests that a pseudogap is the main responsible for the similar behavior between the specific heat jump and the DOS(ε_F) observed in the underdoped regime. This result for ΔC agrees at least qualitatively, with a method based on the resonating valence bond (RVB) spin liquid[16] in which the specific heat jump and the condensation energy decrease due to the opening of a pseudogap in the underdoped regime. Also, the result for ΔC shown in figure 4 is in qualitative agreement with experimental data for some cuprates[4,5].

4 Conclusions

In this work we have investigated the superconducting condensation energy $U(0)$ and the specific heat jump ΔC of a two-dimensional Hubbard model. The results show that both $U(0)$ and ΔC decreases in the strong coupling underdoped regime. It has been verified that this behavior is related to the opening of a pseudogap at the anti-nodal point $(\pi,0)$ on the renormalized band $\omega_{1,\sigma\mathbf{k}}$. In the strong coupling regime, the correlation function $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$ presents in the band shift becomes sufficiently strong to move to lower energies the renormalized band $\omega_{1,\sigma\mathbf{k}}$ in the region of the nodal point (π,π) and as a consequence, a pseudogap opens in the $(\pi,0)$ point. The results obtained here corroborate the scenario that attributes the pseudogap to the strong correlations present in the underdoped regime[8].

Acknowledgements This work was partially supported by the Brazilian agencies CNPq, CAPES and FAPERGS.

References

1. Hubbard J.,*J. Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* **276**, 238 (1963).
2. D. Scalapino, in Handbook of High-Temperature Superconductivity, edited by J. Schrieffer and J. Brooks (Springer, New York, 2007), pp. 495526.
3. E. Gull, A. J. Millis, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 241106(R) (2012).
4. J. W. Loram, K. A. Mirza, J. R. Cooper, W. Y. Liang, J. M. Wade, *J. Supercond.* **7**, 243 (1994).
5. J. W. Loram, J. Luo, J. R. Cooper, W. Y. Liang, J. L. Tallon, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **62**, 56 (2001).
6. J. W. Loram, J. L. Luo, J. R. Cooper, W. Y. Liang, J. L. Tallon, *Physica C* **341**, 831 (2000).
7. T. Matsuzaki, N. Momono, M. Oda, M. Ido, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **73**, 2232 (2004).
8. E. Gull, O. Parcollet, A. J. Millis, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 216405 (2013).
9. L. M. Roth, *Phys. Rev.* **184**, 451 (1969).
10. J. Beenen, D. M. Edwards, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 13636 (1995).
11. D. N. Zubarev, *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **3**, 320 (1960).
12. R. Kishore, S. K. Joshi, *J. Phys. C: Solid St. Phys.* **4**, 2475 (1971).
13. E. J. Calegari, S. G. Magalhaes, C. M. Chaves, A. Troper, *Solid State Commun.* **158**, 20 (2013).
14. E. J. Calegari, S. G. Magalhaes, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B 25**, 41 (2011).
15. T. Herrmann, W. Nolting, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **170**, 253 (1997).
16. J. P. F LeBlanc, E. J. Nicol and J. P. Carbotte, *Phys. Rev. B* **80** 060505 (2009).
17. Y. Yanase and M. Ogata, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **74**, 1534 (2005).
18. A. Ino, T Mizokawa, K. Kobayashi and A. Fujimori *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** 2224 (1998).